CF1002 (H) SINGLE BENCH

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P. (S) No. 7506 2006

Petitioner ..... Bhawar Lal Dewangan
Aged 42 years
S/O Shri Ram Ratan Dewangan,
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primary School, Bagdai,
Dongargaon District, Rajnandgaon
(C.G.)

P.R. No. 2543/06
Presented by Shri S.K. Bajpai
dated 26/12/06

V E R S U S

Respondent ... ... 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Education Department
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Distt. Education Officer,
Rajnandgaon (C.G)

COPY
19/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Writ petition under articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of MANDAMUS, Certiorari, Prohibition and other suitable WRIT or writs, Order or orders, Direction or directions.

The petitioner, above named, furnishes the particulars of the writ petition as under :-

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7367 OF 2006

Petitioner : Samay Lal Kripal

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7403 OF 2006

Petitioner : Bholanath Sahu

versus

Respondents : State of C.G. and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7398 OF 2006

Petitioner : Santosh Kumar Sahu

versus

Respondents : State of C.G. and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7395 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Chandana Pal

Versus

Respondents : State of C.G. and others

24

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7405 OF 2006

Petitioner : Ramadhin Sahu

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7506 OF 2006

Petitioner : Bhawar Lal Dewangan

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7407 OF 2006

Petitioner : Ram Narayan Tiwari

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7408 OF 2006

Petitioner : Sant Kumar Tandan

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7409 OF 2006

Petitioner : Nand Kumar Verma

Versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7410 OF 2006

Petitioner : Basant Kumar Vaishnav

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7411 OF 2006

Petitioner : Kanhaiya Das Sahu

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7412 OF 2006

Petitioner : Sunahere Das Sahu

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

(26)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7413 OF 2006

Petitioner : Menu Ram Ganware

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7414 OF 2006

Petitioner : Shankar Lal Chandrkar

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7415 OF 2006

Petitioner : Lakhan Lal Aahir

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7416 OF 2006

Petitioner : Kanhaiya Lal Sahu

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

(27)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7417 OF 2006

Petitioner : Shube Das Ratre

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7418 OF 2006

Petitioner : Dixit Kumar Sahu

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7419 OF 2006

Petitioner : Annoo Ram Deohare

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7420 OF 2006

Petitioner : Ajit Ram Kuthariya

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7421 OF 2006

Petitioner : Virendra Kumar Gatre

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7422 OF 2006

Petitioner : Dhani Ram Rawte

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7423 OF 2006

Petitioner : Bhagirathi Bharti

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7424 OF 2006

Petitioner : Makhan Lal Sonkar

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

29

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7425 OF 2006

Petitioner : Gowardhan Singh Urwasa

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

---

Present : Mr. Rakesh Pandey, Mr. A.V.Shridhar, Mr. Ajay Shrivastava and Mr. Somkant Verma, counsel for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate, Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate, Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate, Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer and Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 5th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1].

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** vs. ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus

30

of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Gopal